arXiv:1207.2634v1 [math.PR] 11 Jul 2012

Stochastic integration with respect to cylindrical Lévy processes in Hilbert spaces: an L^2 approach

Markus Riedle*
Department of Mathematics
King's College
London WC2R 2LS
United Kingdom

July 12, 2012

Abstract

In this work stochastic integration with respect to cylindrical Lévy processes with weak second moments is introduced. It is well known that a deterministic Hilbert-Schmidt operator radonifies a cylindrical random variable, i.e. it maps a cylindrical random variable to a classical Hilbert space valued random variable. Our approach is based on a generalisation of this result to the radonification of the cylindrical increments of a cylindrical Lévy process by *random* Hilbert-Schmidt operators. This generalisation enables us to introduce a Hilbert space valued random variable as the stochastic integral of a predictable stochastic process with respect to a cylindrical Lévy process. We finish this work by deriving an Itô isometry and by considering shortly stochastic partial differential equations driven by cylindrical Lévy processes.

Key words: stochastic integration; Lévy process; cylindrical process; Hilbert space; stochastic partial differential equation.

1 Introduction

Stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and as their very prerequisite, stochastic integrals in Hilbert spaces, have been extensively studied since the 1960s. One can find various early publications for different classes of integrands and different integrators, see for example Curtain and Falb [5] for integration with respect to Wiener processes, Kunita [13], Métivier and Pistone [19] for

---

*markus.riedle@kcl.ac.uk

integration with respect to square integrable martingales and Métivier [16] for integration with respect to semi-martingales.

Since there does not exist a Wiener process with independent components along the basis of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, the most common models of random noises are either the so-called cylindrical Wiener process or the space-time white noise (which can be seen as a special case of the cylindrical Wiener process). Integration with respect to cylindrical Wiener processes is developed for example in Daletskij [9], followed by the articles Gaveau [10], Lepingle and Ouvrard [15] and others. Integration with respect to space-time white noise is derived in the seminal work by Walsh [28]. The recent work by Dalang and Quer-Sardanyons [8] compares both approaches.

Surprisingly, integration with respect to other cylindrical processes than the cylindrical Wiener process is only considered in a few works. In fact, we are only aware of two approaches to integration with respect to cylindrical martingales, which origin either in the work developed by Métivier and Pellaumail in [17] and [18] or by Mikulevičius and Rozovskiĭ in [20] and [21]. The construction by Métivier and Pellaumail is based on Doléans measures whereas Mikulevičius and Rozovskiĭ use a family of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. Thus, both constructions rely heavily on the assumed existence of weak second moments. In Métivier and Pellaumail [17], the construction is extended to cylindrical local martingales.

The increasing attention to models with Lévy noise led recently to the concept of *cylindrical Lévy processes*. The notion *cylindrical Lévy process* appears the first time in Peszat and Zabczyk [22] and it is followed by the works of Brzeźniak et al [3], Brzeźniak and Zabzcyk [4] and Priola and Zabczyk [23]. The first systematic introduction of cylindrical Lévy processes is presented in our work Applebaum and Riedle [1]. In this article [1] we introduce a very general, discontinuous noise occurring in time and state space as a cylindrical Lévy process, which is a natural generalisation of a cylindrical Wiener process. We follow in [1] the classical approach to cylindrical measures and cylindrical processes, as presented for example in Badrikian [2] and Schwartz [26].

The main objective of this work is to establish a theory of stochastic integration with respect to cylindrical Lévy processes with weak second moments. Although this situation is covered by the above-mentioned more general work by Métivier and Pellaumail ([17], [18]) and Mikulevičius and Rozovskiĭ ([20], [21]), the special case of cylindrical Lévy processes deserves their own treatment. For, the independent and stationary increments of a cylindrical Lévy process enable us to develop a straightforward integration theory in this work, which does not only mimic the approach in case of a classical Lévy process but it is also based on much easier and more familiar arguments. Our approach immediately exposes the need to restrict the class of admissible integrands to the set of stochastic processes with values in the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators. However more important, in contrary to the above-mentioned more general work, our construction of the stochastic integral does not rely on the weak second moments but only the proofs are based on this property. For this reason, we expect that our construction in this work will serve as the basis to develop an integration theory with respect to cylindrical Lévy processes without assuming finite weak second moments. Since a cylindrical Lévy process can not be decomposed into a cylindrical local martingale and and a cylindrical bounded variation process, this situation is not covered by the work mentioned above.

The construction of the stochastic integral is based on the following observation: the increments of a cylindrical process are probabilistically described by cylindrical measures, i.e. finitely additive set functions whose projections to Euclidean spaces are always probability measures. It is well known, as a consequence of Sazonov's theorem, that the image cylindrical measure $\mu \circ T^{-1}$ of a cylindrical measure μ under a linear operator T extends to a Radon measure if T is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Thus, by following Itô's approach to stochastic integration by firstly integrating simple stochastic processes, the extension of this approach to stochastic integration with respect to cylindrical processes requires a generalisation of this result to *random* Hilbert-Schmidt operators. This approach is motivated by the work of Jakubowski et al [11], where the authors show that a single deterministic Hilbert-Schmidt operator transfers a cylindrical semi-martingale to a classical semi-martingale in the underlying Hilbert space.

In Section 3 we show that indeed a random Hilbert-Schmidt operator maps the cylindrical increments to a classical Radon random variable in the Hilbert space. Once this result is derived we follow the classical approach and define an integral operator on the space of simple stochastic processes. By showing the continuity of this operator in Section 4, we can define the stochastic integral on the completion of the space of simple processes under an appropriate topology. To illustrate the usage and applicability of the developed theory, we consider stochastic partial differential equations driven by cylindrical Lévy processes in Section 5.

With referring to the celebrated Bichteler-Dellacherie-Mokobodzki Theorem, our results can be summarised as identifying the cylindrical Lévy process as a *good integrator*. The stochastic integration of simple stochastic processes with respect to the cylindrical Lévy process defines a continuous and linear operator. This property is assumed to be satisfied in the work by Kurtz and Protter [14], in order to derive weak limit results of stochastic integrals with respect to infinite dimensional semi-martingales and their generalisations, the so-called $H^{\#}$-semi-martingales, which also include cylindrical semi-martingales.

2 Preliminaries

Let U be a separable Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, norm $\|\cdot\|_U$ and orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. The Borel σ-algebra in U is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(U)$ and the closed unit ball at the origin by $B_U := \{u \in U : \|u\|_U \leqslant 1\}$. The dual space is identified with U.

For a measure space $(S, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$ we denote by $L^p_\mu(S, \mathcal{S}; U)$, $p \geqslant 0$, the space of equivalence classes of measurable functions $f : S \to U$ with $\int \|f(s)\|_U^p \, \mu(ds) < \infty$.

For every $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a linear map

$$\pi_{u_1,\dots,u_n} : U \to \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \pi_{u_1,\dots,u_n}(u) = (\langle u,_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle u, u_n \rangle).$$

Let Γ be a subset of U. Sets of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z(u_1, \dots, u_n; B) :&= \{u \in U : \, (\langle u, u_1\rangle, \dots, \langle u, u_n\rangle) \in B\} \\ &= \pi^{-1}_{u_1,\dots,u_n}(B), \end{aligned}$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \Gamma$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are called *cylindrical sets.* The set of all cylindrical sets is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(U, \Gamma)$ and it is an algebra. The generated σ-algebra is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(U, \Gamma)$ and it is called the *cylindrical σ-algebra with respect to* (U, Γ). If $\Gamma = U$ we write $\mathcal{Z}(U) := \mathcal{Z}(U, \Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}(U) := \mathcal{C}(U, \Gamma)$.

A function $\mu : \mathcal{Z}(U) \to [0, \infty]$ is called a *cylindrical measure on* $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, if for each finite subset $\Gamma \subseteq U$ the restriction of μ to the σ-algebra $\mathcal{C}(U, \Gamma)$ is a measure. A cylindrical measure is called finite if $\mu(U) < \infty$ and a cylindrical probability measure if $\mu(U) = 1$. For every function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ which is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{C}(U, \Gamma)$ for a finite subset $\Gamma \subseteq U$ the integral $\int f(a) \, \mu(da)$ is well defined as a complex valued Lebesgue integral if it exists. In particular, the characteristic function $\varphi_\mu : U \to \mathbb{C}$ of a finite cylindrical measure μ is defined by

$$\varphi_\mu(u) := \int_U e^{i\langle u,a\rangle} \, \mu(du) \qquad \text{for all } a \in U^*.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space. Similarly to the correspondence between measures and random variables, there is an analogous random object associated to cylindrical measures: a *cylindrical random variable Y in U* is a linear and continuous map

$$Y : U \to L^0_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R}),$$

where the space $L^0_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ is equipped with the topology of convergence in probability. A cylindrical process Y in U is a family $(Y(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ of cylindrical random variables in U.

The characteristic function of a cylindrical random variable Y is defined by

$$\varphi_Y : U \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \varphi_Y(u) = E[\exp(iYu)].$$

If $Z = Z(u_1, \dots, u_n; B)$ is a cylindrical set for $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we obtain a cylindrical probability measure μ by the prescription

$$\mu(Z) := P((Yu_1, \dots, Yu_n) \in B).$$

We call μ the *cylindrical distribution of* Y and the characteristic functions φ_μ and φ_Y of μ and Y coincide. Conversely, for every cylindrical measure μ on

$\mathcal{Z}(U)$ there exists a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and a cylindrical random variable $Y : U \to L^0_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ such that μ is the cylindrical distribution of Y, see [27, VI.3.2].

In the work [1] we introduce the concept of *cylindrical Lévy processes*. A cylindrical process $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ in U is called a cylindrical Lévy process if for every $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the stochastic process

$$\big((L(t)u_1, \dots, L(t)u_n) : t \geqslant 0\big)$$

is a Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In the following we will equip the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geqslant 0}$ generated by a cylindrical Lévy process and defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(\{L(s)u : u \in U, s \in [0,t]\}) \qquad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0.$$

The definition of a cylindrical Lévy process is a straightforward generalisation of a cylindrical Wiener process if the latter is defined analogously: a cylindrical process $(W(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ is called a *cylindrical Wiener process* if for every $u_1, \dots, u_n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the stochastic process

$$\big((W(t)u_1, \dots, W(t)u_n) : t \geqslant 0\big)$$

is a Wiener process in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Here we call an adapted stochastic process $(X(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ a Wiener process if the increments $X(t) - X(s)$ are independent, stationary and normally distributed with expectation $E[X(t) - X(s)] = 0$ and covariance $Cov[X(t) - X(s), X(t) - X(s)] = |t - s|C$ for a non-negative, definite symmetric matrix C. This approach of defining a cylindrical Wiener process can be found for example in the monographs [12] and [18] and it is recently reviewed in [24].

The characteristic function $\varphi_{L(t)} : U \to \mathbb{C}$, $t \geqslant 0$ of a cylindrical Lévy process L is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_{L(t)}(u) \qquad (2.1) \\ &= \exp\left(t\left(ip(u) - \tfrac{1}{2}q(u) + \int_U \left(e^{i\langle u,a\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u,a\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle u,a\rangle)\right) \nu(da)\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $p : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous mapping, $q : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is a quadratic form and ν is a cylindrical measure on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ such that $\nu \circ \langle \cdot, u\rangle^{-1}$ is the Lévy measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ of $(L(t)u : t \geqslant 0)$ for each $u \in U$. This result is derived in [1] with some addenda in [25]. The triplet (p, q, ν) is called the *cylindrical characteristics of* L.

In this work we only consider cylindrical Lévy processes $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ with existing weak second moments that is $E[|L(1)u|^2] < \infty$ for all $u \in U$. In this case, the closed mapping theorem implies that the operator $L(t) : U \to L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ is continuous for all $t \geqslant 0$. We call the cylindrical process $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ a *cylindrical martingale* if $(L(t)u : t \geqslant 0)$ is a real-valued martingale for every $u \in U$.

3 Random Hilbert-Schmidt operators

Let V be another separable Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ and orthonormal basis $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. We also identify the dual space of V with V.

The space of all linear bounded operators $\varphi : U \to V$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(U,V)$. An operator $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ is called a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi e_k\|_V^2 < \infty.$$

The space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$ and it can be equipped with a scalar product defined by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi\rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi e_k, \psi e_k\rangle.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$ is complete and thus a Hilbert space with norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi e_k\|_V^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ be a cylindrical Lévy process in U with weak second moments. We consider for fixed times $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$ the cylindrical random variable $\Delta L := L(t) - L(s) : U \to L_P^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F};\mathbb{R})$. The space of 2-radonifying operators coincides with the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in Hilbert spaces. Therefore, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$ there exists a random variable $X_\varphi \in L_P^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F};V)$ such that

$$(\Delta L)(\varphi^* v) = \langle X_\varphi, v\rangle \qquad \text{for all } v \in V. \tag{3.2}$$

By linearity of ΔL, the equality (3.2) can be generalised to random Hilbert-Schmidt operators if they are simple. An $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$-valued, $\mathcal{F}_s$-measurable random variable Φ is called *simple* if it is of the form

$$\Phi(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(\omega)\varphi_i \qquad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega, \tag{3.3}$$

for disjoint sets $A_1,\dots,A_m \in \mathcal{F}_s$, $\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_m \in \mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The space of all $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$-valued, $\mathcal{F}_s$-measurable, simple random variables is denoted by $S(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_s;\mathcal{L}_2)$. For later reference we equip the space $S(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_s;\mathcal{L}_2)$ with the semi-norm

$$\|\Phi\|_S := \left(E\left[\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2\right]\right)^{1/2}.$$

If Φ is of the form (3.3) then (3.2) implies that for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ there exists a random variable $X_{\varphi_i} \in L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ such that $(\Delta L)(\varphi_i^* v) = \langle X_{\varphi_i}, v \rangle$ for all $v \in V$ and we can define a random variable

$$J_{s,t}(\Phi) : \Omega \to V, \qquad J_{s,t}(\Phi) = \mathbb{1}_{A_1} X_{\varphi_1} + \cdots + \mathbb{1}_{A_m} X_{\varphi_m}.$$

In this way, one obtains a linear operator

$$J_{s,t} : S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2) \to L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V). \tag{3.4}$$

For each $v \in V$ the random variable $J_{s,t}(\Phi)$ satisfies

$$\langle J_{s,t}(\Phi), v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \langle X_{\varphi_i}, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{A_i} (\Delta L)(\varphi_i^* v),$$

which, together with the linearity of ΔL, motivates us to define

$$\big(L(t) - L(s)\big)(\Phi^* v) := \langle J_{s,t}(\Phi), v \rangle \qquad \text{for all } v \in V. \tag{3.5}$$

Remark 3.1. Our definition in (3.5) of the application of the cylindrical random variable ΔL to the U-valued random variable $\Phi^* v$ is based on the linearity of ΔL and the simple form of $\Phi^* v$. However, in general it is not possible to define the application of a cylindrical random variable $Z : U \to L^0_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ to an U-valued random variable $Y : \Omega \to U$ ω-wise. For, if $\omega \in \Omega$ is fixed the random variable $Z(Y(\omega))$ can be arbitrarily defined on a null set N_ω depending on ω. Consequently, the mapping $\omega \to Z(Y(\omega))(\omega)$ might be arbitrarily defined on a set which is not a null set if Ω is not countable.

Theorem 3.2. *For $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$ let $J_{s,t}$ be the operator defined in (3.4). Then $J_{s,t}$ is continuous and satisfies*

$$\| J_{s,t} \|_{S \to L^2_P} \leqslant \| L(t-s) \|_{U \to L^2_P} .$$

Proof. Let $\Phi \in S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2)$ be of the form (3.3). The independence of $\Delta L := L(t) - L(s)$ and $\mathcal{F}_s$ implies for each $v \in V$ that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\left| (\Delta L)(\Phi^* v) \right|^2 \right] &= E\left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{A_i} (\Delta L)(\varphi_i^* v) \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m E\left[\left| \mathbb{1}_{A_i} (\Delta L)(\varphi_i^* v) \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m E\left[E\left[\left| \mathbb{1}_{A_i} (\Delta L)(\varphi_i^* v) \right|^2 \,\middle|\, \mathcal{F}_s \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_\Omega E\left[\left| \mathbb{1}_{A_i}(\omega) (\Delta L)(\varphi_i^* v) \right|^2 \right] \, P(d\omega) \\ &= \int_\Omega E\left[\left| (\Delta L)(\Phi^*(\omega) v) \right|^2 \right] \, P(d\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Applying this equality results in

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[\|J_{s,t}(\Phi)\|_V^2\right] &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[|\langle J_{s,t}(\Phi), f_j\rangle|^2\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[|(\Delta L)(\Phi^* f_j)|^2\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} E\left[|(\Delta L)(\Phi^*(\omega) f_j)|^2\right] \, P(d\omega) \\
&\leqslant \|\Delta L\|^2_{U\to L_P^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\|\Phi^* f_j\|_U^2\right] \\
&= \|\Delta L\|^2_{U\to L_P^2} \, E\left[\|\Phi\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We denote the Bochner space $L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2(U,V))$ by $L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2)$. Since the operator $J_{s,t}$ is continuous on $S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2)$ and due to the well known fact that $S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2)$ is dense in the Bochner space $L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2)$ we can uniquely extend the operator $J_{s,t}$ to the latter space. In this way, for each $\Phi \in L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2)$ we define

$$(L(t) - L(s))(\Phi^* v) := \langle J_{s,t}\Phi, v\rangle \qquad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

Remark 3.3. A Hilbert-Schmidt operator $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$ is not only 2-radonifying but even 0-radonifying. That means, even without requiring that L has weak second moments, there exists a classical random variable $X_\varphi : \Omega \to V$ such that $(L(t) - L(s))(\varphi^* v) = \langle X_\varphi, v\rangle$ for all $v \in V$. However, the V-valued random variable X_φ might not have finite moments. One can expect that the analogue result of Theorem 3.2 can be modified such that the P-a.s. convergence of a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2)$ to $\Phi \in L_P^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_s; \mathcal{L}_2)$ implies that $\{J_{s,t}(\Phi_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_P^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$, equipped with the topology of convergence in probability.

4 Stochastic integration

An $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$-valued, stochastic process $(\Psi(t) : t \in [0,T])$ is called *simple* if it is of the form

$$\Psi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Phi_k \, \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(t), \tag{4.7}$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T$ is a finite sequence of deterministic times and Φ_k is a random variable in $L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}; \mathcal{L}_2)$ for each $k = 0, \ldots, N-1$. The set of all simple $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$-valued stochastic processes is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and it is endowed with the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} := \left(E\left[\int_0^T \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 \, ds \right] \right)^{1/2}.$$

It is well known (see for example [6, Pro. 4.7]) that $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{L}_2)$ is dense in the space

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2) := \left\{ \Psi : [0,T] \times \Omega \to \mathcal{L}_2(U,V) : \text{ predictable, } E\left[\int_0^T \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 \, ds \right] < \infty \right\},$$

equipped with the same norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Let $(\Psi(t) : t \in [0,T])$ be a simple process in $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{L}_2)$ of the form (4.7) and $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ be a cylindrical Lévy process in U with weak second moments. According to Theorem 3.2 we can define $X_k := J_{t_k,t_{k+1}}(\Phi_k)$ for each $k = 0, \dots, N-1$ to obtain a random variable $X_k \in L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ such that

$$\big(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)\big)(\Phi_k^* v) = \langle X_k, v \rangle \qquad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

Thus, we can define a random variable in $L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ by

$$I(\Psi) : \Omega \to V, \qquad I(\Psi) := X_0 + \cdots + X_{N-1}$$

and we call $I(\Psi)$ the *stochastic integral of* Ψ *with respect to* L. Obviously, it satisfies

$$\langle I(\Psi), v \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \langle X_k, v \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \big(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)\big)(\Phi_k^* v)$$

for all $v \in V$.

Theorem 4.1. *The operator* $I : \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{L}_2) \to L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ *is continuous.*

Since the application of the cylindrical random variable $L(t) - L(s)$ to a random variable in U is defined by the extension of the operator $J_{s,t}$, it is often convenient to work with a subspace of $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{L}_2)$. For that purpose, let $\mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ denote the space of all $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$-valued stochastic processes $(\Psi(t) : t \in [0,T])$ which are of the form

$$\Psi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Phi_k \, \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(t), \tag{4.8}$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T$ is a finite sequence of deterministic times and Φ_k is a *simple* random variable in $S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}; \mathcal{L}_2)$ for each $k = 0, \dots, N-1$.

Lemma 4.2. *For every* $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{L}_2)$ *there exists a sequence* $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ *such that* $\|\Psi - \Psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \to 0$ *and* $\|I(\Psi) - I(\Psi_n)\|_{L_P^2} \to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let Ψ be a simple stochastic process in $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{L}_2)$ of the form

$$\Psi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Phi_k \, \mathbb{1}_{(t_k,t_{k+1}]}(t) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T],$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T$ is a finite sequence of deterministic times and each Φ_k is an arbitrary random variable in $L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}; \mathcal{L}_2)$ for $k = 0, \dots, N-1$. For each $k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ there exists a sequence $\{\Phi_{k,n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}; \mathcal{L}_2)$ of simple $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$-valued random variables which converges to Φ_k in $L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}; \mathcal{L}_2)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the stochastic process $(\Psi_n(t) : t \in [0,T])$ defined by

$$\Psi_n(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Phi_{k,n} \, \mathbb{1}_{(t_k,t_{k+1}]}(t) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T],$$

is in $\mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and the sequence $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi - \Psi_n\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} &= E\left[\int_0^T \|\Psi(s) - \Psi_n(s)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2} \, ds\right] \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k) E\left[\|\Phi_k - \Phi_{k,n}\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2}\right] \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(\Psi) - I(\Psi_n)\|^2_{L^2_P} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left|\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \big(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)\big)(\Phi_k^* f_j - \Phi_{k,n}^* f_j)\right|^2\right] \\
&\leqslant (N-1) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left|\big(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)\big)(\Phi_k^* f_j - \Phi_{k,n}^* f_j)\right|^2\right] \\
&= (N-1) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} E\left[\left\|J_{t_k,t_{k+1}}(\Phi_k - \Phi_{k,n})\right\|^2_V\right] \\
&\leqslant (N-1) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\|J_{t_k,t_{k+1}}\right\|^2_{L^2_P \to L^2_P} E\left[\|\Phi_k - \Phi_{k,n}\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2}\right] \\
&\to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $J_{t_k,t_{k+1}} : L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}; \mathcal{L}_2) \to L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ is the operator defined in (3.4) for $\Delta L := L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)$. ☐

Proof. (Theorem 4.1)
Let L has the cylindrical characteristics (p, q, ν). Since the weak second moments exist, it follows from [1, Cor. 3.12] that L can be written as

$$L(t)u = \tilde{p}(u)t + \tilde{L}(t)u \qquad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0, \, u \in U,$$

where $\tilde{p} : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is a linear mapping and $(\tilde{L}(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ is a cylindrical Lévy process, which is a cylindrical martingale with $\tilde{L}(0) = 0$ P a.s. Since $\tilde{p}(u) = E[L(1)u]$ for all $u \in U$, it follows from the continuity of $L(t) : U \to L^2_P(\Omega; \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ that $\tilde{p}$ is continuous, which in turn implies that $\tilde{L}(t) : U \to L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ is continuous. The cylindrical process $\tilde{L}$ can be decomposed further into $\tilde{L}(t) = W(t) + P(t)$. Here, $(W(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ is a Gaussian cylindrical process[1] with characteristic function $\varphi_{W(t)}(u) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2 q(u))$, $u \in U$ and $t \geqslant 0$, see [24]. The cylindrical process $(P(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ is independent of W and is given by

$$P(t)u = \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \beta \, \hat{N}_u(t, d\beta) \qquad \text{for all } u \in U,$$

where $\hat{N}_u$ denotes the compensated Poisson random measure with Lévy measure $\nu \circ \langle \cdot, u\rangle^{-1}$ of the Poisson random measure defined by

$$N_u(t, B) := \sum_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} \mathbb{1}_B \big((L(s) - L(s-))u \big) \qquad \text{for all } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}),\ t \geqslant 0.$$

It follows for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$ and each $u \in U$ that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[|(W(t) - W(s))u|^2 \right] &= (t-s) q(u), \\ E\left[|(P(t) - P(s))u|^2 \right] &= (t-s) \int_U \langle u, a\rangle^2 \, \nu(da). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for all $u \in U$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[|\big(\tilde{L}(t) - \tilde{L}(s)\big)u|^2 \right] &= (t-s)\Big(q(u) + \int_U \langle u, a\rangle^2 \, \nu(da) \Big) \\ &= (t-s) E\left[|\tilde{L}(1)u|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\Psi(t) : t \in [0,T])$ be a simple, $\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$-valued stochastic process in $\mathcal{H}^S_0(\mathcal{L}_2)$ of the form

$$\Psi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Phi_k \, \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(t), \tag{4.9}$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ is a finite sequence of deterministic times and each Φ_k is in $S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}; \mathcal{L}_2)$ for $k = 0, \dots, N-1$ and is of the form

$$\Phi_k = \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,k}} \, \varphi_{i,k}$$

---

[1] Note, that although $\tilde{L}(t) : U \to L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and $\tilde{L} = W + P$ the linear mappings $W(t) : U \to L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ and $P(t) : U \to L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{R})$ are not necessarily continuous. Nevertheless, we call W and P here cylindrical processes for simplicity although in all other situations we require continuity in the definition of a cylindrical random variable.

for disjoint sets $A_{1,k}, \dots, A_{m_k,k} \in \mathcal{F}_{t_k}$ and $\varphi_{1,k}, \dots, \varphi_{m_k,k} \in \mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$. The martingale property of $\tilde{L}$ implies the orthogonality of the increments; that is, for two times $0 \leqslant t_k \leqslant t_\ell \leqslant T$ and $\Delta\tilde{L}_i := \tilde{L}(t_{i+1}) - \tilde{L}(t_i)$, $i = k, \ell$ it follows for all $u \in U$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[(\Delta\tilde{L}_k)(\Phi_k^* u)(\Delta\tilde{L}_\ell)(\Phi_\ell^* u)\right] \\
&\qquad = E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_k} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,k}}\, \Delta\tilde{L}_k(\varphi_{i,k}^* u)\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_\ell} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,\ell}}\, \Delta\tilde{L}_\ell(\varphi_{i,\ell}^* u)\right)\right] \\
&\qquad = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m_k}\sum_{i=1}^{m_\ell} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,k}}\, \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,\ell}}\, \Delta\tilde{L}_k(\varphi_{i,k}^* u)\, E\left[\Delta\tilde{L}_\ell(\varphi_{i,\ell}^* u) |\, \mathcal{F}_{t_\ell}\right]\right] \\
&\qquad = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The decomposition $L(t)u = \tilde{p}(u)t + \tilde{L}(t)u$, $t \geqslant 0$, $u \in U$ enables us to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[\|I(\Psi)\|_V^2\right] &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[|\langle I(\Psi), f_j\rangle|^2\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left|\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\Big(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)\Big)(\Phi_k^* f_j)\right|^2\right] \\
&\leqslant 2S(\Psi) + 2R(\Psi),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where the sums are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\Psi) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left|\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\Big(\tilde{L}(t_{k+1}) - \tilde{L}(t_k)\Big)(\Phi_k^* f_j)\right|^2\right], \\
R(\Psi) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left|\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tilde{p}(\Phi_k^* f_j)(t_{k+1} - t_k)\right|^2\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By the orthogonal increments of $\tilde{L}$ and by using the equality (3.6), which can be applied since Φ_k is a simple random variable in $S(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}; \mathcal{L}_2)$ for each $k =$

$0,\dots,N-1$, we can estimate the first sum in (4.10) by

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\Psi) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} E\left[\left|\Big(\tilde{L}(t_{k+1})-\tilde{L}(t_k)\Big)(\Phi_k^* f_j)\right|^2\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_\Omega E\left[\left|\Big(\tilde{L}(t_{k+1})-\tilde{L}(t_k)\Big)(\Phi_k^*(\omega) f_j)\right|^2\right] P(d\omega) \\
&\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\|\tilde{L}(t_{k+1})-\tilde{L}(t_k)\right\|_{U\to L_P^2}^2 E\left[\|\Phi_k^* f_j\|_U^2\right] \\
&= \left\|\tilde{L}(1)\right\|_{U\to L_P^2}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}(t_{k+1}-t_k) E\left[\|\Phi_k\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2\right] \\
&= \left\|\tilde{L}(1)\right\|_{U\to L_P^2}^2 E\left[\int_0^T \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2\, ds\right].
\end{aligned}$$

The second sum in (4.10) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\Psi) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left|\int_0^T \tilde{p}(\Psi^*(s)f_j)\, ds\right|^2\right] \\
&\leqslant T\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\int_0^T |\tilde{p}(\Psi^*(s)f_j)|^2\, ds\right] \\
&\leqslant T\,\|\tilde{p}\|_U^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left[\int_0^T \|\Psi^*(s)f_j)\|_U^2\, ds\right] \\
&= T\,\|\tilde{p}\|_U^2\, E\left[\int_0^T \|\Psi(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2\, ds\right]. \qquad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

The last two estimates show the claim for each $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ of the form (4.9).

Let Ψ be an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{L}_2)$. By Lemma 4.2 there exists a sequence $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ such that $\Psi_n \to \Psi$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and $I(\Psi_n)\to I(\Psi)$ in $L_P^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F};V)$. It follows from the first part of the proof that there exists a constant $c>0$, independent of n, such that

$$E\left[\|I(\Psi_n)\|_V^2\right] \leqslant cE\left[\int_0^T \|\Psi_n(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2\, ds\right] \qquad \text{for all } n\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (4.12)$$

The convergence of Ψ_n and $\mathrm{I}(\Psi_n)$ completes the proof. □

Due to Theorem 4.1 we are now in the classical situation and the operator I can be extended to a linear continuous operator $I:\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)\to L_P^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F};V)$ which enables us to define:

$$\int_0^T \Psi(s)\, dL(s) := I(\Psi) \qquad \text{for each } \Psi\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2).$$

Since we follow Itô's approach in this work there is also an Itô isometry in the following. In this result, we require that the quadratic form $q : U \to \mathbb{R}$ of the cylindrical characteristics (p, q, ν) of the cylindrical Lévy process is continuous. This covers the standard situation, confer for example the case of a cylindrical Wiener process in [24].

Corollary 4.3. *Let L be a cylindrical Lévy process with cylindrical characteristics (p, q, ν) and with weak second moments. If L is a cylindrical martingale and the quadratic form $q : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous then for each $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ we have*

$$\left\| \int_0^T \Psi(s)\, dL(s) \right\|_{L_P^2}^2 = \int_0^T E\left[\left\| Q^{1/2}\Psi^*(s) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 + \int_U \|\Psi(s)u\|_V^2 \, \nu(du) \right] ds,$$

where $Q \in \mathcal{L}(U, U)$ satisfies $q(u) = \langle Qu, u\rangle$ for each $u \in U$.

Proof. Since L is a cylindrical martingale it can be decomposed into $L(t)u = W(t)u + P(t)u$ for all $t \geqslant 0$ and $u \in U$, where W and P are defined in the proof of Theorem 4.1. However, since q is required to be continuous the mapping $W(t) : U \to L_P^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and thus, also $P(t) : U \to L_P^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$. It follows for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$ and each $u \in U$ that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[|(W(t) - W(s))u|^2 \right] &= (t - s)\langle Qu, u\rangle, \\ E\left[|(P(t) - P(s))u|^2 \right] &= (t - s) \int_U \langle u, a\rangle^2 \, \nu(da). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ be of the form (4.9). The orthogonal increments of $(L(t) : \, t \geqslant 0)$

imply as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\left\|\int_0^T \Psi(s)\,dL(s)\right\|_V^2\right]\\
&\quad=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} E\left[\left|\Big(L(t_{k+1})-L(t_k)\Big)(\Phi_k^* f_j)\right|^2\right]\\
&\quad=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{i=1}^{m_k}\Big(E\left[\mathbb{1}_{A_{i,k}}\left|(W(t_{k+1})-W(t_k))(\varphi_{i,k}^* f_j)\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\left.\left.+(P(t_{k+1})-P(t_k))(\varphi_{i,k}^* f_j)\right|^2\right]\Big)\\
&\quad=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}(t_{k+1}-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{m_k}E[\mathbb{1}_{A_{i,k}}]\left(\langle Q\varphi_{i,k}^* f_j,\varphi_{i,k}^* f_j\rangle+\int_U\langle\varphi_{i,k}^* f_j,u\rangle^2\,\nu(du)\right)\\
&\quad=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}(t_{k+1}-t_k)E\left[\langle Q^{1/2}\Phi_k^* f_j,Q^{1/2}\Phi_k^* f_j\rangle+\int_U\langle\Phi_k^* f_j,u\rangle^2\,\nu(du)\right]\\
&\quad=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}(t_{k+1}-t_k)E\left[\left\|Q^{1/2}\Phi_k^*\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2+\int_U\|\Phi_k u\|_V^2\,\nu(du)\right]\\
&\quad=\int_0^T\left(E\left[\left\|Q^{1/2}\Psi^*(s)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2\right]+\int_U\|\Psi(s)u\|_V^2\,\nu(du)\right)ds,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

where we used the fact that Q can be factorised into $Q=(Q^{1/2})^*Q^{1/2}$.

Since the cylindrical measure ν is per se only defined on the algebra $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ whereas the norm is not measurable with respect to $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ the integral in (4.13) requires a clarification: for a Hilbert-Schmidt operator $\varphi\in\mathcal{L}_2(U,V)$ the image cylindrical measure $\nu\circ\varphi^{-1}$ extends to a Radon measure with finite second moment. Thus, we can define

$$\int_U\|\varphi u\|_V^2\,\nu(du):=\int_V\|v\|_V^2\,(\nu\circ\varphi^{-1})(dv)<\infty.$$

For fixed $\omega\in\Omega$, this argument can be extended by linearity to the integration of $\|\Phi_k(\omega)u\|_V^2$ for $\Phi_k\in S(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_{t_k};\mathcal{L}_2)$ and $\|\Psi(s)(\omega)u\|_V^2$ for $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and $u\in U$ with respect to the cylindrical measure ν in (4.13).

Let a sequence $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ converges to $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$. Since $(P(t):t\geqslant 0)$ is also a cylindrical Lévy process, we obtain by the same calculation as in (4.13) that

$$E\left[\left\|\int_0^T\Psi_n(s)\,dP(s)\right\|_V^2\right]=E\left[\int_0^T\int_U\|\Psi_n(s)u\|_V^2\,\nu(du)ds\right].$$

Consequently, we can define

$$\int_0^T\int_U\|\Psi(s)u\|_V^2\,\nu(du)ds:=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^T\int_U\|\Psi_n(s)u\|_V^2\,\nu(du)ds,$$

where the convergence takes place in $L^1_P(\Omega,\mathcal{F};\mathbb{R})$ Since the convergence of all other terms in the first and last line in (4.13) is obvious, an application of Lemma 4.2 completes the proof. $\square$

In order to obtain a stochastic process we define for $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$

$$\int_0^t \Psi(s)\,dL(s) := \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s)\Psi(s)\,dL(s) \qquad \text{for } t\in[0,T].$$

The following result completes the introduction of the integral with respect to cylindrical Lévy processes.

Corollary 4.4. *If $(L(t) : t\geqslant 0)$ is a cylindrical Lévy process with weak second moments then for every $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ there exists a V-valued adapted stochastic process I with strong second moments and with càdlàg paths in V which is a modification of*

$$\left(\int_0^t \Psi(s)\,dL(s) : t\in[0,T]\right).$$

If in addition, $(L(t) : t\geqslant 0)$ is a cylindrical martingale then I is a martingale in V with strong second moments.

Proof. Decompose L as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 into the cylindrical martingale $\tilde{L}$ and the linear, continuous mapping $\tilde{p}: U\to\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $L(t)u=\tilde{p}(u)t+\tilde{L}(t)u$, $t\geqslant 0$ for all $u\in U$. Since $\tilde{L}$ is again a cylindrical Lévy process the stochastic integral $\tilde{I}(\Psi):=\int_0^T\Psi(s)\,d\tilde{L}(s)$ is well defined for $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and we obtain the decomposition

$$I(\Psi)=\tilde{I}(\Psi)+F(\Psi), \tag{4.14}$$

where $F(\Psi)$ is defined as the V-valued random variable $F(\Psi):=I(\Psi)-\tilde{I}(\Psi)$.

For $\Psi\in\mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ the random variable F satisfies

$$\langle F(\Psi),v\rangle=\int_0^T \tilde{p}(\Psi^*(s)v)\,ds \qquad \text{for all } v\in V. \tag{4.15}$$

If a sequence $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ converges to Ψ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ then $F(\Psi_n)\to F(\Psi)$ in $L^2_P(\Omega,\mathcal{F};V)$ by the continuity of I and $\tilde{I}$. On the other hand, by changing to a subsequence if necessary we can assume that

$$\int_0^T \|\Psi_n(s)-\Psi(s)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2}\,ds\to 0 \quad P\text{-a.s. as } n\to\infty.$$

Together with a similar estimate as in (4.11), this implies for each $v\in V$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_0^T \tilde{p}\big((\Psi_n^*(s)-\Psi^*(s))v\big)\,ds\right|^2 &\leqslant T\,\|\tilde{p}\|_U^2\int_0^T \|(\Psi_n^*-\Psi^*)(s)v\|_U^2\,ds\\ &\leqslant T\,\|\tilde{p}\|_U^2\,\|v\|_V^2\int_0^T \|(\Psi_n-\Psi)(s)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2}\,ds\\ &\to 0 \quad P\text{-a.s. as } n\to\infty,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that (4.15) is also satisfied for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$.

Define $\tilde{I}(\Psi)(t) := \tilde{I}(\Psi\, \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]})$ for $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$. If $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^S(\mathcal{L}_2)$ it is easy to see that the stochastic process $\tilde{M}(\Psi) := (\tilde{I}(\Psi)(t) : t \in [0,T])$ is an V-valued martingale. Due to the continuity of the conditional expectation as an operator on $L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ one can extend this result to $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ by Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2.

For every $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ we have

$$\left\|\Psi\, \mathbb{1}_{(s,t]}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_s^t E[\|\Psi(r)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2]\, dr \to 0 \qquad \text{as } s \to t.$$

Therefore, the continuity of the integral operator $\tilde{I} : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2) \to L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ implies for each $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left\|\tilde{\mathrm{I}}(\Psi)(t) - \tilde{I}(\Psi)(s)\right\|_V \geqslant \varepsilon\right) &\leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} E\left[\left\|\tilde{\mathrm{I}}(\Psi)(t) - \tilde{I}(\Psi)(s)\right\|_V^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\left\|\tilde{I}(\Psi\, \mathbb{1}_{(s,t]})\right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\to 0 \qquad \text{as } s \to t, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the V-valued martingale $\tilde{M}(\Psi)$ is continuous in probability. It follows by an infinite dimensional version of Doob's regularisation theorem (see e.g. [22, Th. 3.13]) that there exists a modification of $\tilde{M}(\Psi)$ with càdlàg paths.

Since $\tilde{p}$ is linear it follows from (4.15) for $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|F(\Psi\, \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}) - F(\Psi\, \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]})\right\|_V &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_s^t \tilde{p}(\Psi^*(r) f_j)\, dr \right|^2 \\ &\leqslant (t-s) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_s^t \left|\tilde{p}(\Psi^*(r) f_j)\right|^2\, dr \\ &\leqslant (t-s)\, \|\tilde{p}\|_U^2 \int_0^T \|\Psi(r)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2\, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the stochastic process $(F(\Psi\, \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}) : t \in [0,T])$ has continuous trajectories. Due to the decomposition (4.14) this shows the existence of a modification of $(\int_0^t \Psi(s)\, dL(s) : t \in [0,T])$ with càdlàg paths for each $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2)$.

If L is a cylindrical martingale it follows $\tilde{p} = 0$ in the above calculations, which shows the second claim. □

5 Differential Equations

The developed theory of stochastic integration can be applied to a stochastic partial differential equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &dX(t) = (AX(t) + F(X(t)))\, dt + G(X(t))\, dL(t) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T], \\ &X(0) = X_0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where $A : \mathrm{dom}(A) \subseteq V \to V$ is the generator of a C_0-semigroup $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ on V, $F : V \to V$ and $G : V \to \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ are some mappings and the initial condition X_0 is an $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable random variable in $L^2_P(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; V)$. The random noise $(L(t) : t \in [0, T])$ is a cylindrical Lévy process in U with weak second moments.

Since the stochastic integral with respect to the generalised noise $(L(t) : t \in [0, T])$ is developed in the last sections one can follow very closely the existing literature to derive existence, uniqueness and further properties of solutions of (5.16) or even more general equations. For that reason, we keep this section very short and indicate only the approach in the standard situation.

A predictable V-valued stochastic process $(X(t) : t \in [0, T])$ is called a *mild solution of Equation* (5.16) if for all $t \in [0, T]$ it satisfies P-a.s. that

$$X(t) = S(t)X_0 + \int_0^t S(t - s)F(X(s))\, ds + \int_0^t S(t - s)G(X(s))\, dL(s).$$

The last integral on the right hand side is the stochastic integral developed in the previous sections.

In the following result we employ the standard assumptions, linear growth and Lipschitz conditions, which guarantee the existence of a mild solution, see for example [7, p. 65] or [22, p. 148].

Theorem 5.1. *If there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and all $t \in [0, T]$ the operators satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t)(Fv_1)\|_V + \|S(t)(Gv_1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} &\leqslant c(1 + \|v_1\|_V), \\ \|S(t)(F(v_1) - F(v_2))\|_V + \|S(t)(G(v_1) - G(v_2))\|_{\mathcal{L}_2} &\leqslant c\,\|v_1 - v_2\|_V\,, \end{aligned}$$

then there exists a unique (up to modification) mild solution X of (5.16) *satisfying* $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} E\left[\|X(t)\|_V^2\right] < \infty$.

Proof. The standard proof applies Banach's fixed point theorem in the space

$$\mathcal{X}_{T,\beta} := \left\{ Y : [0, T] \times \Omega \to V \text{ predictable and } \sup_{t\in[0,T]} E\left[\|Y(t)\|_V^2\right] < \infty \right\},$$

and equipped for some $\beta > 0$ with the norm

$$\|Y\|_{T,\beta} := \left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} e^{-\beta t} E\left[\|Y(t)\|_V^2\right] \right)^{1/2}.$$

The operator, which will be shown to be a contraction for an appropriate $\beta > 0$, is defined by

$$K : \mathcal{X}_{T,\beta} \to \mathcal{X}_{T,\beta}, \qquad K(Y) := K_1(Y) + K_2(Y),$$

where for all $t \in [0,T]$ we define

$$K_1(Y)(t) := \int_0^t S(t-s)F(Y(s))\,ds$$
$$K_2(Y)(t) := \int_0^t S(t-s)G(Y(s))\,dL(s).$$

The integrals, and in particular the newly developed stochastic integral, are well defined due to the assumed linear growth condition. There exists a predictable version of the stochastic processes $(K_1(Y)(t) : t \in [0,T])$ and $(K_2(Y)(t) : t \in [0,T])$, since they are adapted and stochastically continuous. For $K_2(Y)$ this can be seen as in the proof of Corollary 4.4.

The Lipschitz continuity shows that there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|K_1(Y_1) - K_1(Y_2)\|_{T,\beta}^2 \leqslant c_1 \|Y_1 - Y_2\|_{T,\beta}^2 \qquad \text{for all } Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{X}_{T,\beta}. \tag{5.17}$$

The continuity of the integral operator $I : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}_2) \to L_P^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ implies that there exists a constant c_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|K_2(Y_1) - K_2(Y_2)\|_{T,\beta}^2 \\
&\qquad = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} e^{-\beta t} \left\| \int_0^t S(t-s)(G(Y_1(s)) - G(Y_2(s)))\,dL(s) \right\|_{L_P^2}^2 \\
&\qquad \leqslant c_2 \sup_{t\in[0,T]} e^{-\beta t} E\left[\int_0^t \|S(t-s)(G(Y_1(s)) - G(Y_2(s)))\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}^2 \,ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lipschitz continuity results in

$$\|K_2(Y_1) - K_2(Y_2)\|_{T,\beta}^2 \leqslant c_3 \|Y_1 - Y_2\|_{T,\beta}^2 \qquad \text{for all } Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{X}_{T,\beta}, \tag{5.18}$$

for a constant $c_3 > 0$. Both inequalities (5.17) and (5.18) show that K is a contraction if we choose β large enough, which completes the proof. ☐

Acknowledgement: This work was initiated by an inspiring discussion with A. Jakubowski in Ascona 2011 for which I thank him.

References

[1] D. Applebaum and M. Riedle. Cylindrical Lévy processes in Banach spaces. *Proc. of Lond. Math. Soc.*, 101(3):697–726, 2010.

[2] A. Badrikian. *Séminaire sur les fonctions aléatoires linéaires et les mesures cylindriques.* Lect. Notes Math. 139. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[3] Z. Brzeźniak, B. Goldys, P. Imkeller, S. Peszat, E. Priola, and J. Zabzcyk. Time irregularity of generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, 348:273–276, 2010.

[4] Z. Brzeźniak and J. Zabczyk. Regularity of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by a Levy white noise. *Potential Anal.*, 32(2):153–188, 2010.

[5] R. F. Curtain and P. L. Falb. Ito's lemma in infinite dimensions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 31:434–448, 1970.

[6] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[7] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Ergodicity for infinite dimensional systems.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.

[8] R. C. Dalang and L. Quer-Sardanyons. Stochastic integrals for SPDE's: a comparison. *Expo. Math.*, 29(1):67–109, 2011.

[9] Y. L. Daletskij. Infinite-dimensional elliptic operators and parabolic equations connected with them. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 22(4):1–53, 1967.

[10] B. Gaveau. Intégrale stochastique radonifiante. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A*, 276:617–620, 1973.

[11] A. Jakubowski, S. Kwapień, P. Raynaud de Fitte, and J. Rosiński. Radonification of cylindrical semimartingales by a single Hilbert-Schmidt operator. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 5(3):429–440, 2002.

[12] G. Kallianpur and J. Xiong. *Stochastic differential equations in infinite dimensional spaces.* Hayward, CA: IMS, Inst. of Math. Statistics, 1996.

[13] H. Kunita. Stochastic integrals based on martingales taking values in Hilbert space. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 38:41–52, 1970.

[14] T. G. Kurtz and P. E. Protter. Weak convergence of stochastic integrals and differential equations. II: Infinite dimensional case. Lect. Notes Math. 1627, Berlin: Springer, 197-285, 1996.

[15] D. Lepingle and J.-Y. Ouvrard. Martingales browniennes hilbertiennes. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A*, 276:1225–1228, 1973.

[16] M. Métivier. *Semimartingales: A course on stochastic processes.* Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1982.

[17] M. Métivier and J. Pellaumail. Cylindrical stochastic integral. *Sém. de l'Université de Rennes*, 63:1–28, 1976.

[18] M. Métivier and J. Pellaumail. *Stochastic integration.* New York: Academic Press, 1980.

[19] M. Métivier and G. Pistone. Une formule d'isometrie pour l'intégrale stochastique hilbertienne et équations d'évolution linéaires stochastiques. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.*, 33:1–18, 1975.

[20] R. Mikulevičius and B.L. Rozovskiĭ. Normalized stochastic integrals in topological vector spaces. *Séminaire de probabilités XXXII. Berlin: Springer. Lect. Notes Math.*, 1686:137–165, 1998.

[21] R. Mikulevičius and B.L. Rozovskiĭ. Martingale problems for stochastic PDE's. Carmona, René A. (ed.) et al., Stochastic partial differential equations: six perspectives. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society. Math. Surv. Monogr. 64, 243-325, 1999.

[22] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise. An evolution equation approach.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[23] E. Priola and J. Zabczyk. Structural properties of semilinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 149(1-2):97–137, 2011.

[24] M. Riedle. Cylindrical Wiener processes. *Séminaire de probabilités XLIII, Berlin: Springer. Lect. Notes Math.*, 2006:191–214, 2011.

[25] M. Riedle. Infinitely divisible cylindrical measures on Banach spaces. *Studia Mathematica*, 207:235–256, 2011.

[26] L. Schwartz. *Radon measures on arbitrary topological spaces and cylindrical measures.* London: Oxford University Press, 1973.

[27] N.N. Vakhaniya, V.I. Tarieladze, and S.A. Chobanyan. *Probability distributions on Banach spaces.* D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1987.

[28] J. B. Walsh. An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. *École d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour XIV, Berlin: Springer. Lect. Notes Math.*, 1180:265–437, 1986.